-1

ROUGHLY EDITED COPY

AMERICAN LIBRARY ASSOCIATION ALA

LI12..R7 0 0641O-/.2855 0 0 1290.51704.28 Tm (LCONFERENCE(ALA)Tj 11.8897 0 0 12.6 42 6.6 0.2.64 Tm (ALA)Tc 12.6 0 0 1267.0.06.6

j

r-

Council Document 62. Resolution on

>> TRINA MAGI: Trina Maggie, Chapter Councilor from Vermont. I think that is a very helpful amendment.
We live in a very wealthy country where we have very little trouble finding resources to support the war and other wars and at the same time very little resourc~ to support libraries. And I believe our spending priorities are shameful for a country of our wealth. And this resolution calls for a shift of those priorities. And I think it's high time. And that is why I'm inclined to support the resolution.
Now, some ask: What's the point of ALA making a
statement on this? Who's going to listen? What
difference will it make what will come of it? And those
are legitimate and good questions. They are, in fact,
the same questions I asked myself some years ago when I
organized librarians in my state of Vermont to send a
letter to Congressman Sanders and to testifu.7 460.99 630555.75 513

1

Convention, which is supposed to protect cultural heritage materials in time of war.
I would like to just call your attention to the fact that despite the fact that our government dropped the ball on so many initiatives to help our colleague$ in Iraq, and especially after the CPA dissolved, that there are a number of efforts underway on the part of librarians and library educators around the world, and I just want to call your attention to the Iraq crisis, which is supported by the Middle East Librarian's

1

absolutely something that we, as a body, have a great opportunity to make a statement to the country and the world. So I speak in support of the resolution. >>PRES.BREY-CASIANO: Thank you. Microphone 3.
>> RUTH NUSSBAUM: Good morning. Ruth Nussbaum, Councilor-At-Large. I would like to make a resolution to delete the first resolved and substitute -- sorry.
>> ELIZABETH ANN RIDLER: Councilor-At-Large. I also1 speak, I'm the seconder of this motion. And if this entire thing passes, if it doesn't pass, we're back to the former resolution, we will move the same thing. I think it's very important that we speak up on the issue of support for rebuilding Iraq's libraries, but I do not think it's appropriate to take our toys and go home when things get tough. I think we would leave a desert in which not only libraries but individuals would have no protection. So that's what we're moving. >>PRES.BREY-CASIANO: So we have a motion on the
floor to delete the first resolved clause. That is all you are removing, correct? Microphone 5, are you speaking to the amendment?
>> LING HWEY JENG: Councilor-At-Large. We just submitted pretty much the same amendment, but I would like to make a friendly -- suggest to delete the word "subsequently" in the second resolve clause. >>PRES.BREY-CASIANO: If you could hold that thought, Councilor Jeng, and then we will take action on this amendment first. Well, I am being told that subsequently makes no sense without the first one.
We have been asked to take the word "subsequently" -- delete the word "subsequently" in the second resolved clause. There it is. All right. There is no objection from the original movers of the amendment. So we will take these two amendments together.
All right. Is there discussion on the amendments? Microphone 7.
>> Actually point of order. I believe that first amendment reverse clauses two and three, didn't it? >>PRES.BREY-CASIANO: Yes, they have been reversed
now. That's been done. That had been done before the amendment was placed on the screen.
Microphone 5.
>> ANN SPARANESE: Councilor-At-Large. If you delete
the first resolved -- I'm speaking against deleting the

first resolved. If you delete the first resolve, the order.

rest

1

body need to reject this amendment and vote on the resolution instead of trying to make resolutions that render it illogical.
>>PRES.BREY-CASIANO: Microphone 7.
>> STEPHEN MATTHEWS: Councilor-At-Large. I support this change. I think it makes this something that really has to do with the American Library Association. While it pains me not to support something that would end this war, because I think it's abhorrent use of our resources and our

1

from here.
We're asking to replace -- instead of deleting the
first resolved clause, we're asking to replace it ~ith the language that you see on your screen.
I'm advised that this is somewhat out of order and that we should vote on the first amendment, which was to delete the first resolve clause and delete the word "subsequently." So if we could have that back on the screen. The earlier amendment?

Unfortunately or fortunately, once an item comes to Council, it becomes a motion of Council. It no longer belongs to the people who moved it.
So we are now back to the original amendment. So I would like to vote on that. All those in favor, please raise your hands? Of the amendment you see on the screen, which is the deletion of the first resolved clause and the word "subsequently" in the second resolve clause.

All in favor, please raise your hands? Thank you.
All those opposed, please raise your hands? I think we're going to have to divide the house on this. All those in favor, please stand.
All right. Thank you. You may be seated.
All those opposed, please stand. All right the motion fails 66 no, 65 yes. Please mark your ballots CD 62 Amend 2.
We are now back to the main motion. Actually, we can now consider the second amendment that was put before you, if we could get that back up on the screen. That was the substitute motion for the first resolved clause. Microphone 7? This is on the amendment as it appears
on your screen.
>> TERRI KIRK: ASL Division Councilor, ALA Executive Board Member. I think if we would substitute this, it would be more palatable to most of us because demanding the immediate withdrawal of the troops is a problem, because that can't be done and we know that that can't be

done. That it wouldn't happen. If we say this, I think it's more powerful, that we're saying we want it to be aggressive, we don't want them to wait around to think about it, but we realize that it can't be immediate because it just wouldn't happen.
>>PRES.BREY-CASIANO: Apparently people are having trouble with the color, if that could be changed to a brighter color, that would be helpful. Yellow is good. Our retiring eyes, I guess, are having trouble with the pink.
Also, the parliamentarian has advised me that the words

1

"resolved that" would go in the front of this resolved clause just as standard language, but that does not require action from Council.
Microphone 3.
>> JAMES RETTIG: Executive Board. I think that this proposed amendment would be very welcome by the government, that they would say this is exactly what they have been trying to do. And their approach has indeed been aggressive.
(Laughter.)
(Applause.)
>>PRES.BREY-CASIANO: Microphone 3.
>> FRANCIS BUCKLEY: I have an amendment in waiting to do as I suggested before, to drop the words "immediate and unconditional." So if this is voted down, then I will make the next motion.
>>PRES.BREY-CASIANO: We'll take that under
adv{sement. Thank you.
Seeing no one else at the microphones, we are ready to vote on this amendment. All those in favor, please raise your hands? Thank you.
All those opposed, please raise your hands? And the amendment fails. Please mark your ballots CD 62, Amend
3.

Microphone 3.
>> FRANCIS BUCKLEY: Francis Buckley, member at large. I think we'rnd I

1

finally. With regard to the new resolved clause, the second one, I don't believe that any of us that are here with government funds supporting us have the right to speak on this issue or not on this issue unless we're here on our own time talking about our own views.
The American public is completely split on the issue Gf the war. There are polls, 60% can be pulled out,

1

All those opposed, please raise your hands? The motion passes.

(Applause.)
Please mark your ballot 62 As Amended.