



Tara restated her understanding of the history behind this conversation: SRRT leadership posted the resolution calling for a ceasefire in Gaza to our website per usual practice, it disappeared, leadership reposted it, and it disappeared again. How can we keep something like that from happening again and ensure that SRRT knows what is happening? As it happened, this felt very disrespectful.

Emily agreed that the process that happened should not be repeated and clarified that the operational decision about all discussion of not-yet-passed resolutions happening behind a paywall is not up to be changed at this time.

Elaine reiterated Charles's earlier question to Melissa: have any other units experienced this same disappearance of resolutions, and if so, which bodies/resolutions? Elaine also reiterated April's comment that the "One Voice" policy seems to come up when SRRT does something controversial, going back to the conflict with the Boy Scouts of America regarding a SRRT statement. Elaine expressed her understanding that there are real repercussions for the organization and for individual librarians based on the positions they take on what is happening in Palestine, then asked whether, once Council has had an opportunity to vote on any resolution, SRRT will be able to post resolutions that SRRT has passed on SRRT's part of the ala.org website (as a SRRT statement, as clarified by Charles).

Melissa clarified that the only other resolutions that were recently discussed have only been posted and discussed on Connect. They were already behind the log-in wall.

Emily referred Elaine's question about SRRT's ala.org web presence to Melissa as it's a policy matter. Melissa needs to better understand the nature of publishing a SRRT statement and would like to defer the question.

Elaine clarified that it is important for people to understand the positions that librarians in SRRT have taken, regardless of what Council does, and for SRRT to be able to issue our own statements on issues that we think are important.

In chat, April provided a link to the SRRT resolution archive, where both passed and failed resolutions (along with SRRT resolutions that were not intended for ALA Council) are provided as part of our historical record of SRRT's work.

Gary asked whether SRRT can have discussions about our resolutions in public without divulging details, for example, stating that this is something we have presented to Council, with more details to come? What kind of autonomy does



Charles apologized for the lack of extensive discussion in June and expressed concern that social responsibility is not more explicitly mentioned in the core values. Is there anything that can be done from the Council floor to push for that?

Sara noted that the draft will be voted upon this weekend in Council, likely on Sunday. Sara sees social responsibility as having been integrated into the other values listed so that it's not a standalone. One thing that was removed in earlier discussion was about outsourcing library management (and LSSI in particular) in the "public good" value.

Tara also suggested that " " is now more frequently used than " " and may be a better fit. (

Mark Rosenzweig said that "embedding" social responsibility into the other values seems more like "dissolving" social responsibility as a value. Social responsibility has been an ALA core value for 20 years, and we should not stand by as it disappears from the list. Mark Hudson asked whether other values could be embedded in "social responsibility" instead of the other way around. Mark H. noted that "social responsibility" now sees frequent corporate use as well, but he thinks it still reads well in our context.

Frieda agreed with those who seek to keep values such as

April will set up votes by e-mail since the meeting has already run past its established time, including the updated text of the two resolutions. Mark H. will send the updated text to April to ensure everyone receives the correct version.

Mark Rosr